

# 10. Complex Hardware Morphologies: Walking Machines





#### 1. Introduction

- 2. Evolving Simulated Insects
- 3. Evolution of Walking Machines
  - 3.1 Online Evolution
  - 3.2 From Simulations to Physical Robots
- 4. From Swimming to Walking
- 5. Dynamic Gait for a Quadruped Robot
- 6. Conclusions



# 1. Introduction

#### Traditional geometric approach

- Based on modeling of the robot and derivation of leg trajectories
- Computationally expensive and requires fine tuning of parameters
- Recently employed genetic algorithms for optimization
- Behavior based approach
  - Trajectories emerge from the coordination of several control modules
    - Complexity of legged robot can be reduced if one takes into account the symmetries of the body
  - Local computation is inspired upon biological mechanisms



# 2. Evolving Simulated Insects

- Beer & Gallagher (1992)
  - Artificial evolution can find robust locomotion controllers without priori knowledge
- Evolution of walking for simulated hexapod insects
  - Insects can move only if it is statically stable. (stance/swing)
  - Displacement of body is computed under dynamics by summing the forces exerted by all stancing legs.
  - Each leg has a sensor that measures the angle between the leg and the body of the robot
  - 5 neurons: 3 neurons (up/down, forward swing, backward swing) and 2 hidden units
  - Inspired upon the neural circuitery, which is used by cockroaches for locomotion





# 2. Evolving Simulated Insects

- Used simple genetic algorithm
- Fitness function (behavioral fitness)
  - The forward distance traveled within the allocated time is normalized by the total distance if moved at maximum speed
- Two different trail and averaged its fitness
  - Receiving the angle sensor info
  - Not receiving sensor info
- To evolve robust controllers in absence of external inputs





## 2. Evolving Simulated Insects

- Discovered a pattern of leg movement as tripod gait
  - Type of gait used by all fast moving insects
- Evolved controller displayed higher stepping frequency and more regular phasing in the sensory system, but capable of moving forward even in its absence





## 3. Evolution of Walking Machines

- Lewis et al. (1992)
  - First attempt to evolve a physical walking machine
  - An hexapod robot with two DOF for each leg (lift and swing)
  - Evolve using a neural network and did not use sensors for locomotion
  - The resulting behavior is scored by a combination of objective measures and visual inspection, and the score is fed back to the genetic algorithm as fitness
- Combinations of weight and threshold parameters, the two neurons began to oscillate at a particular frequency and phase.
  - Coupled oscillator, a phase difference of 90°, produced a stepping motion





## 3.1 Online Evolution

- Gomi and Ide (1998)
  - Evolved walking patterns for an octopod robot
  - Each leg is characterized by 8 parameters describing its motions
  - Motor current sensors and two belly contact sensors are used for the evaluation of the fitness function



Robot Intelligence Technology Lab.



ิกิโ

# 3.2 From Simulation to Physical Robots

#### Jakobi (1998)

- On the octopod robot, infrared and bumper sensors are provided
- Avoiding objects with its infrared sensors and backing away from objects that hit with its bumper
- Fitness function is incremented by the resulting value  $\delta$ 
  - 1. No objects within sensor range,  $\delta$  is the sum of the left and right side speeds
  - 2. Objects on right side,  $\delta$  is the right side speed minus the left side speed
  - 3. Objects on left side,  $\delta$  is the left side speed minus the right side speed
  - 4. Hit an obstacle,  $\delta$  is minus the sum of the left and right side speeds
- Fit controllers is evolved within around 3500 generations



# 4. From Swimming to Walking

### Lewis (1996)

- Evolved swimming controllers for a simulated lamprey incrementally evolved walking controllers for a quadruped robot with a flexible spine
- Ijspeert (1998)
  - Controller consisted of a *central pattern generator* (CPG), capable of producing oscillatory patterns with no external inputs
  - These oscillations are used for rhythmic muscle contraction in both swimming and locomotion

# 4. From Swimming to Walking

- Evolving swimming controller
  - 1. Individual oscillator is evolved using a fitness function that rewarded the production of regular oscillations
  - 2. Evolved the coordination of several copies of previously evolved segmental oscillators
  - 3. Incrementally evolved to compensate for varying water currents





# 4. From Swimming to Walking

- The goal is to evolve controllers than can switch between walking and swimming
  - Chromosome consisted of 39 real valued numbers
  - A simple genetic algorithm is employed to evolve a population of 40 individuals
  - Evaluated by an objective fitness function that rewards;
    - 1. Fast walking on a straight line
    - 2. A large range of speeds depending on the amount of excitation
    - 3. Usage of all four limbs
  - After 40 generations, all runs converged to controllers capable of producing a gait.
  - Salamander is capable of swimming, but its speed is 35% lower than the lamprey due to extra inertial forces produced by the limbs



## 5. Dynamic Gait for a Quadruped Robot

- Hornby et al. researcher at Sony Corporation (1999)
  - The goal is to evolve controllers capable of moving in a straight line as fast as possible without using sensory information
  - Steady state genetic algorithm with tournament selection is run on the CPU
  - Fitness function is computed using only info available through onboard sensors





# 6. Conclusions

- The variety of simulated and physical robots are similar in the following four aspects:
  - Stage evolution there is no distinction of evolutionary phases
  - Sensor-less walk sensors are evaluate the fitness of the individual, but is not passed to the evolutionary control system
  - Coupled oscillator can rapidly synchronize and well suited for generating regular rhythmic patterns required by walk
  - Static walk robots with six or more legs are intrinsically static
- Improvement of hardware solution will provide increased flexibility, dynamics and ultimate benefits from a model free evolutionary approach